

Futures Workshop

Turku, Finland

7 June 2006

Feedback

Some 17 feedback forms were returned, the majority filled out on both sides. The bulk noted high satisfaction with meeting personal objectives and training needs, with a slightly lower proportion re institutional objectives:

1. This event met my personal objectives **Median rating: 3.9**
(1 = not at all, 5 = completely)

2. This event met my institutional objectives **Median rating: 4.1**
(1 = not at all, 5 = completely)

3. This event supports my training/development needs **Median rating: 3.8**
(1 = not at all, 5 = completely)

4. Were any of your personal or institutional objectives or the overall FUTURREG programme objectives not covered today? Please specify:

Some of the workshops delivered in a hurried fashion, too fast pace....How to apply the toolbox and the individual tools included (x 2).....No discussion on the methodology but understood that that would be the focus in September. The breadth of issues was met, but some workshops were superficial [especially Visionary Management and Delphi – from the perspective of how applied rather than technically]....More case studies needed.....Implementation of the toolkit was not clarified and the procedure of pilot applications is still vague.

5. What were the main benefits of the workshop and the most valuable things you have learned?

Exposure to various tools and their uses...Networking.....means and objectives.....methodology...overview of method, pros & cons of applying the futures tools...the toolkit tools very interesting....to learn about Foresight & Futures Toolkit..... I have a much better perspective on how I need to take forward the Futures work I will be commissioning this autumn. ..Made me think how the Foresight Techniques could be applied to my organisation's situation and also for certain sectors....Good speakers, Scenario and Delphi presentations good....stakeholders familiarised with toolkit...re-evaluation of tools as many opinions were stated.

Questions 6. – 11. grading scale: Excellent-Very Good-Good-OK–Poor:

6. How would you rate the organisation of this event?

Overall score: Excellent-Very Good

7. How would you rate the content of this event?

Overall score: Very Good

8. How did you rate the speakers?

Overall score: Very Good

9. How would you rate the pace of the event?

Overall score: Very Good

10. How would you rate the venue facilities?

Overall score: Excellent-Very Good

11. How would you rate the training materials provided?

Overall score: Very Good-Good

12. We are very interested in any further constructive feedback or comments you may have about how further FUTURREG training events can be improved:

More information beforehand...set up a virtual communication network so that participants can discuss issues between events...more real examples/case studies....copies of all the presentations would have been useful.

GW 12.06.06